UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/516,965	02/11/2005	James Smith	93437	8572
²⁴⁶²⁸ Husch Blackwe	7590 09/15/200 ll Sanders, LLP	EXAMINER		
Welsh & Katz		CROUSE, BRETT ALAN		
120 S RIVERSIDE PLAZA 22ND FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL	60606	1794		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/15/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/516,965	SMITH ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	Brett A. Crouse	1794	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addi	ess
THE REPLY FILED 02 September 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appetor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this An no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE).	g date of the final rejectio FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	n. .ED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of the corresponding a	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	te extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	Called
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or 	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w); er form for appeal by materially rec	E below); ducing or simplifying th	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21 See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mnliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		inplicant / anonamont (i	102 024).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate, t	•	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 22-27.		l be entered and an ex	planation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	ıl and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
 REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Callie E. Shosho/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1794			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The amendments filed 8/21/08 and 9/2/08 have been considered but do not place the application in condition for allowance. The added limitation "equidistantly" spaced raises new issues requiring further search and consideration. The "equidistantly spaced" limitation also raises the issue of new matter. The examiner respectfully requests applicant to point to support in the original specification as to the combination of a sleeve which is "spaced from" a lamp "equidistantly". The added limitation of "completely encompassing" the globe also raises a new matter issue. While the specification discloses the sleeve encompasses substantially all of the globe there does not appear to be support for "completely encompassing". The examiner respectfully requests that applicant point to support within the original specification with respect to the cover "completely encompassing" the globe. With regard to the remarks, applicant argues Vakil does not teach or suggest a friction fit piece. Attention is directed to column 7, lines 48-51 and claim 10 of Vakil. Applicant also argues that there is lack of motivation to combine Vakil with Baillie as Vakil is not directed to securing a light covering. Vakil provides an attachment means for securing an external structure to a fluorescent lamp through which the connection pins of the light fixture can protrude.